



New corporate criminal offence

Failure to prevent the facilitation of tax evasion

Background

The Criminal Finances Act 2017, which received Royal Assent on 27 April 2017, introduces new strict liability corporate criminal offences of failure to prevent criminal facilitation of tax evasion. The legislation will come into force on 30 September 2017.


The aim of the legislation is to require corporates to put in place reasonable procedures to prevent those providing services for, or on its behalf, from dishonestly and deliberately facilitating tax evasion.

Tax evasion and its facilitation are already criminal offences, however, the new corporate offences aim to overcome the difficulty often encountered by prosecuting authorities in attributing criminal liability to relevant bodies for the criminal acts of employees, agents or those that provide services for, or on their behalf.

What are the new offences?

The new legislation creates two new offences. The first offence relates to the evasion of UK tax and the second to the evasion of foreign tax.

There are three stages that apply to both offences:



Only a “relevant body” can commit the new offences. This is defined as “a body corporate or a partnership”, wherever incorporated or formed. The offences therefore apply to companies, partnerships and not for profit organisations.

A relevant body can only commit the new offences if a person associated with it criminally (deliberately and dishonestly) facilitates a tax evasion offence. A person is “associated” with a relevant body if that person (an individual or corporate body) performs services for or on behalf of the relevant body.

Any comments or queries?

Adam Craggs
Partner

+44 20 3060 6421
adam.craggs@rpc.co.uk

Michelle Sloane
Senior Associate

+44 20 3060 6255
michelle.sloane@rpc.co.uk

The facilitation comprises being knowingly concerned in, or taking steps with a view to, the fraudulent tax evasion of another, as well as aiding, abetting, counselling or procuring another person's offence of tax evasion. However, the associated person does not commit a tax evasion offence when they inadvertently, or even negligently, facilitate another's tax evasion.

The statutory defence: reasonable prevention procedures

There is a complete defence to the offences for a relevant body if:

- it has in place reasonable preventative procedures as it was reasonable in all circumstances to expect it to have, or
- it was not reasonable, in all the circumstances, to expect it to have any preventative procedures in place.

The offence is modelled on the section 7 of the Bribery Act 2010 offence of corporate failure to prevent bribery and the statutory defence is similar to the equivalent defence of "adequate procedures to prevent" bribery, contained in the Bribery Act 2010.

HMRC has published draft guidance in relation to the new offences. The reasonable procedures should be formulated using six guiding principles.

"Experienced and skilful team known for its high-quality, down-to-earth client service. Additionally, able in complex judicial review, tax investigation and ADR proceedings."

Chambers UK 2017

1 RISK ASSESSMENT

The relevant body should assess the nature and extent of its exposure to the risk of those who act for or on its behalf engaging in activity during the course of business to criminally facilitate tax evasion. The risk assessment is key to the other guiding principles which need to be evaluated based on the results of the analysis of risk.

2 PROPORTIONALITY OF RISK BASED PREVENTATIVE PROCEDURES

To be "reasonable", prevention procedures should be proportionate to the risks the relevant body faces of persons associated with it committing tax evasion facilitation offences. This will depend on the nature, scale and complexity of the relevant body's activities.

3 TOP LEVEL COMMITMENT

Senior management of a relevant body should be committed to preventing persons associated with it from engaging in the criminal facilitation of tax evasion.

4 DUE DILIGENCE

The relevant body should apply due diligence procedures, taking an appropriate and risk based approach.

5 COMMUNICATION (INCLUDING TRAINING)

The relevant body should ensure that its prevention policies and procedures are communicated, embedded and understood throughout the organisation, through internal and external communication, including training.

6 MONITORING AND REVIEW

The relevant body should monitor and review its prevention procedures and make improvements where necessary.

Action to be taken

All relevant bodies should be taking action to ensure they are aware of and have control over how their associated persons operate in order to reduce the risk of exposure to the new offences.

Conducting a risk assessment is central to putting in place reasonable preventative procedures so that if necessary the above defence might be relied upon. Relevant bodies need to conduct a thorough risk assessment of their 'associated persons' and consider whether such persons have a motive, the opportunity and the means to facilitate tax evasion offences and if they do, appropriate procedures should be implemented.

The procedures should be documented so that an audit trail can be provided to support any policy decisions regarding the implementation of new procedures to reduce the risk of exposure to the new offences.

Any failure to implement reasonable preventative procedures may leave the relevant body exposed to criminal prosecution in the event an associated person facilitates tax evasion.

The Government has acknowledged that the reasonableness of prevention procedures will change as time passes. What is reasonable on the first day the new offences come into force will not necessarily be the same as what is reasonable when the offence has been in effect for a number of years.

What are the consequences of non-compliance?

The penalties for these new offences include an unlimited financial penalty and/or ancillary orders such as confiscation orders or serious crime prevention orders. Non-compliance will result in a criminal investigation by HMRC with any prosecutions being brought by the Crown Prosecution Service (CPS), whilst the foreign tax offence will be investigated by the Serious Fraud Office (SFO) or National Crime Agency and prosecutions will be brought by either the SFO or CPS. Aside from the implications of a prosecution, or resulting regulatory action, the risk of adverse publicity could adversely impact on the profitability of the business concerned.

How can we help?

We are well placed to help you comply with your obligations. RPC is a leading law firm with extensive experience of both contentious tax and regulatory matters. Dealing with tax contentious work is a specialist area demanding a high degree of expertise which is not readily available in most professional practices. Our team includes former senior members of HMRC Solicitor's Office. We understand how HMRC operates, what strategies it may use in enquiries and during criminal investigations. We believe that prevention is better than cure!

We are ranked in independent guides Chambers UK and the Legal 500, as one of the top tax litigation teams in the country. We recently won Best Tax Team in a Law Firm at the 2017 Tolley's Taxation Awards.

"RPC's 'highly practical team' is 'responsive without compromising on the ability to deliver high-quality analysis and solutions'."

Legal 500 UK 2016

"RPC is very good at advising on how to correspond with HMRC and what speed we should be going at to bring an issue to settlement."

Chambers UK 2015

Winners of
Tolley's®
TAXATION
Awards 2017

About RPC

RPC is a modern, progressive and commercially focused City law firm. We have 83 partners and over 600 employees based in London, Hong Kong, Singapore and Bristol.

“... the client-centred modern City legal services business.”

At RPC we put our clients and our people at the heart of what we do:

- Best Legal Adviser status every year since 2009
- Best Legal Employer status every year since 2009
- Shortlisted for Law Firm of the Year for two consecutive years
- Top 30 Most Innovative Law Firms in Europe

We have also been shortlisted and won a number of industry awards, including:

- Winner – Overall Best Legal Adviser – Legal Week Best Legal Adviser 2016-17
- Winner – Law Firm of the Year – The British Legal Awards 2015
- Winner – Competition and Regulatory Team of the Year – The British Legal Awards 2015
- Winner – Law Firm of the Year – The Lawyer Awards 2014
- Winner – Law Firm of the Year – Halsbury Legal Awards 2014
- Winner – Commercial Team of the Year – The British Legal Awards 2014
- Winner – Competition Team of the Year – Legal Business Awards 2014

Areas of expertise

- | | | |
|------------------------------|---------------------------|------------------------------|
| • Competition | • Employment | • Projects & Outsourcing |
| • Construction & Engineering | • Finance | • Real Estate |
| • Corporate/M&A/ECM/PE/Funds | • Insurance & Reinsurance | • Regulatory |
| • Corporate Insurance | • IP | • Restructuring & Insolvency |
| • Dispute Resolution | • Media | • Tax |
| | • Pensions | • Technology |
| | • Professional Negligence | |

